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#### Abstract

We report the first total synthesis, and thus structural confirmation, of the dendrobatid alkaloid 251F (1), based on the retrosynthetic analysis illustrated $(\mathbf{1} \leftarrow \leftarrow 4)$. Key observations in this synthesis are that both the Rh-mediated cyclization of 4 and the anionic cyclization of 2 proceed with excellent diastereoselectivity.


In 1992 Daly and Spande reported ${ }^{1}$ the isolation and structural elucidation, primarily by high field ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectrometry, of alkaloid 251F (1) from the skin exudate of the dendrobatid poison frog Minyobates bombetes of Colombia. Unlike most of the dendrobatid alkaloids, which are apparently acetogenins, $\mathbf{1}$ is clearly terpene-derived. We report the first total synthesis, and thus structural confirmation, of $\mathbf{1}$, based on the retrosynthetic analysis illustrated. The most critical observations in this synthesis are that both the Rh-mediated cyclization of 4 and the anionic cyclization of 2 can be effected with excellent diastereoselectivity.
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Preparation of the $\mathbf{C}$ Ring. For the proposed convergent assembly to succeed, it was necessary to prepare both the carbocyclic $\mathbf{C}$ ring and the piperidine $\mathbf{A}$ ring in high enantiomeric purity. While a variety of approaches to enantiomerically pure cyclopentanes have been developed, ${ }^{2}$ none of these is readily applicable to the preparation of such a highly substituted
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## Scheme 1


cyclopentane as 3 . We therefore investigated the Rh -mediated cyclization ${ }^{3,4}$ of diazoester 4 (Scheme 1).
We were attracted to this approach by the our alreadyestablished preparation ${ }^{5}$ of the enantiomerically pure $\beta$-hydroxy ester 5 (two steps from the inexpensive 6-methyl-5-heptene-2-
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one, $90 \%$ overall yield and $97 \%$ ee, by Ru BINAP hydrogenation ${ }^{6}$ of the intermediate $\beta$-ketoester). Alkylation ${ }^{7}$ of the dianion proceeded to give the expected anti product, which was reduced and protected to afford 6 . Ozonolysis of the alkene 6 followed by oxidation ${ }^{8}$ gave the ester 7 .

Evans reported traces of diazo transfer on reaction of 4-nitrobenzenesulfonylazide with an ester enolate. ${ }^{9}$ We have found that initial benzoylation of the ester enolate substantially increases the yield of the subsequent diazo transfer. This is the first practical procedure for direct diazo transfer to an ester. ${ }^{10}$

Cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ could proceed to a give a mixture of one or more of the diastereomers $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{8 d}$ (Scheme 2). There are four corresponding diastereomeric transition states, 4a-4d, leading to cyclization. Previous work on Rh-mediated intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion ${ }^{3.4}$ supports the concept that initial complexation

[^2]of the intermediate Rh carbene with the target $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is rapid and reversible. We reasoned that bridging the 1,3 -diol with the acetonide protecting group could provide a rigidity to these transition states.

Considering each of the diastereomeric transition states in turn, $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}$ seemed the most favorable. Transition state $\mathbf{4 b}$ looks very much like 4a, with, however, an additional destabilizing buttressing interaction between the methyl group and the ester. In transition state $\mathbf{4 c}$, the Rh dimer, swung out of the way in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, is tucked up in a sterically more congested area under the ring. Transition state $\mathbf{4 d}$ has the same problem, and also adds the buttressing between the methyl group and the ester seen in $\mathbf{4 b}$. ${ }^{11}$

In fact, the cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ proceeded smoothly, to give $\mathbf{8 a}$ as the only ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) diastereomer observed. The relative configuration of $8 \mathbf{a}$ was assigned by a combination of COESY and NOE techniques. The most significant observations were a $4.1 \%$ NOE between the methyl group and the equatorial H at C-7 and a $5.7 \%$ NOE between the methyl group and the H at $\mathrm{C}-2$. The lack of an NOE between the ring fusion H's confirmed the trans ring fusion. Given the rigid nature of the chair conformation of the six-membered ring, these observations then secure the relative configuration of $\mathbf{8 a}$.


Reduction of the ester and subsequent protection led to 9 . Monotosylation of the derived diol then gave 3, in 12 steps and $14 \%$ overall yield from 5. Using this approach, we have routinely prepared gram quantities of enantiomerically pure 3.

Preparation of the Piperidine $\mathbf{A}$ Ring. To pursue the proposed convergent assembly of $\mathbf{1}$, we also needed gram quantities of the enantiomerically pure piperidine 14 (Scheme 3). This was conveniently available starting with the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation ${ }^{12}$ of geraniol 10. Reduction of the epoxide ( $92 \%$ ee) following the Hutchins procedure ${ }^{13}$ proceeded cleanly to give the 2-hydroxycitronellol 11, ${ }^{14}$ which on periodate cleavage ${ }^{15}$ followed by reductive workup gave norcitronellol 12. We have found this assembly of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to be much more convenient than alternative chiral auxiliary-based methods.

[^3]Ozonolysis of the unstable azide $\mathbf{1 3}$ followed by phosphonate condensation and reduction of the azide ${ }^{16}$ at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded 14 and 15 in a ratio of $5.6: 1$. Assignment of the relative configuration of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ was made by comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts with those for known substituted piperidines. ${ }^{17}$ At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the same cyclization proceeded to give $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ in a ratio of 1.1:1.

Convergent Assembly of 1. Alkylation of 14 with 3 proceeded smoothly to give 16. There were then two uncertainties to be faced in approaching the proposed intramolecular alkylation to close the $\mathbf{B}$ ring. First, it would be necessary to purify the unstable amino benzenesulfonate derived from 16. Even if the benzenesulfonate could be sufficiently purified, the attempted enolate formation might result instead in $\beta$-elimination.

Direct formation of the cis-fused azetidinium salt was indeed a real hazard. We observed that the isolated yield of the benzenesulfonate dropped off quickly with extended reaction time. Nevertheless, rapid preparation and purification allowed the isolation of the desired benzenesulfonate.

Still's demonstration ${ }^{18}$ that it is possible to generate and alkylate the enolate of a $\beta$-amino ester made cyclization plausible. The question of the relative configuration of the newly-established stereogenic center remained. We reasoned that transition state 18 would be less congested than 19 , so $\mathbf{1 7}$ would be favored over 20. While cyclization proceeded smoothly, to give $\mathbf{1 7}$ as a single dominant diastereomer, it is not impossible that any of ester $\mathbf{2 0}$ that formed could have been equilibrated to $\mathbf{1 7}$ under the conditions of the cyclization.
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To complete the synthesis, it was necessary to convert the ester to a methyl group. Several methods ${ }^{19}$ have been put forward for effecting this transformation. We have developed what promises to be an efficient alternative. Thus, ester 17 was

[^4]reduced to the corresponding alcohol, which was then converted to the sulfide. ${ }^{20}$ Dissolving metal reduction ${ }^{21}$ then effected clean desulfurization as well as debenzylation to give 1.

The amino alcohol from the reduction had a mass spectrum congruent with that reported for 1 . The identity of the synthetic amino alcohol with the natural alkaloid was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, ${ }^{22}$ GC-MS coinjection on a capillary GC column, and GC-IR. ${ }^{23}$

By the method of synthesis, we are confident of the absolute configuration of the stereogenic centers at $\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-12$, and C-13 of alkaloid 251F. The centers at C-9 and C-10, on the other hand, are not secured by this synthesis, as ester 17 could be subject to both epimerization and $\beta$-elimination. In addition to $\mathbf{1}$, then, structures 21,22 , and 23 could alternatively be possible for 251 F .
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With five of the seven centers of 251F established, we can return to the NOESY spectrum originally recorded for the natural product. The key NOEs for our purposes are those observed between the 2-axial H and $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ and between $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ and the 9 -methyl. The former establishes that $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ is axial, and the latter establishes that the 9 -methyl is on the same side of the ring as $\mathrm{H}_{10}$. Thus, the relative configuration of 251 F is confirmed to be $\mathbf{1}$. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1}$ is as yet unknown.

The isolation and structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was carried out with $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of material, the total that had been purified from the Minyobates bombetes extract. ${ }^{1}$ The convergent assembly of $\mathbf{1}$ outlined here, even in its initial form, has already increased the supply of the purified alkaloid by a factor of more than 100. The high
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diastereoselectivity observed for the cyclization of $\mathbf{4}$ is especially noteworthy. Our preliminary investigations with additional $\alpha$-diazo esters indicate that these substrates often cyclize with high diastereoselectivity. ${ }^{11}$

## Experimental Section ${ }^{14}$

Methyl (2S,3S)-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-7-methyl-6-octenoate. $n$-Butyllithium ( $74 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}, 2.31 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added to a stirring solution of diisopropylamine ( $19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 150 mL ) at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After warming up to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \beta$-hydroxyester $6(14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 77.4$ mmol ) was added neat, and the temperature was raised to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Iodoethane ( $8.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in HMPA ( 60 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred with warming to room temperature over 4 h. Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction mixture. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether. The combined extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed with $8 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether to give the desired alkylated product ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f}$ 0.51 ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 5.10 (m, 1 H ), $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: 11.8, 17.6, 25.7, 51.5, 52.6, 71.6, 123.7 ; u: 22.7, 24.3, 35.5, 132.3, 176.0; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3465,1736,1670,1437$, 1376, 1171; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) 214 (M+1), 196 (52), 137 (24), 136 (93), 131 (22), 125 (20), 121 (39), 113 (43), 107 (46), 102 (100); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 214.1569, found 214.1573; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.0$.
(4S,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-ethyl-5-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-1,3-dioxane 6. Lithium aluminum hydride ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 61.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the alkylated $\beta$-hydroxyester ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 10 h and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9$ mL ) were added sequentially to the grayish reaction mixture over a period of 1 h . Substantial gas and heat evolution were observed, and the reaction mixture turned into a white paste. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give the desired crude diol ( 5.6 g ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
3.03 (br s, 1 H ), 2.82 (br s, 1 H ), 2.11 (m, 2 H ), $1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $11.6,17.6,25.0,46.0,75.5,123.9$; u: $21.4,24.4,35.5$, 63.6, 132.3.
$p$-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring solution of the crude diol $(5.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in dimethoxypropane ( 70 $\mathrm{mL})$. After $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to neutralize the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated and chromatographed directly to give $7(5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ yield from 6) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.89$ ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(\delta) 5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $10.9,17.8,19.3,25.7,29.5,40.4,72.5$, 124.3; u: 21.0, 23.4, 33.1, 64.0, 97.9, 131.5; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2926, 2859, 1457, 1379, 1264, 1199; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) $226\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 211\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, 20), 168 (55), 150 (50), 135 (48), 121 (88), 111 (100), 109 (52), 107 (29); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} 226.1934$ (211.1699 loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), found 211.1695.

Methyl (4S,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-ethyl-1,3-dioxanepropionate 7. A stream of ozone was passed through a solution of $\mathbf{6}(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 24.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , the red indicator (Sudan Red) was decolorized, and the ozone was turned off. The reaction mixture was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and triphenylphosphine ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 28.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 10 h . The reaction mixture was then concentrated, and the residue was dissolved in $1: 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(60 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 96.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.95 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in 1:9 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ were added sequentially to the reaction mixture, turning the mixture bright orange. After stirring for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(36.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The orange reaction mixture decolorized instantly with evolution of gas. The reaction mixture was partitioned between ether and brine. The combined ethereal layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give the ester $7(4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ yield from 7 ) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.68$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether), [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-54.4,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $10.9,19.3,29.4,40.4,51.4,72.4$; u: 20.9, 28.3, $29.7,63.9,98.0,174.3$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2965,1740,1438,1380,1201,1165$, 1124, 868; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) $215\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 45\right.$ ), 172 (10), 156 (7), 155 (71), 141 (52), 140 (21), 123 (100), 117 (92), 113 (29), 111 (60), 110 (25), 101(7); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} 230.1518$ (215.1284 loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), found 215.1279 . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 62.58, \mathrm{H}, 9.63$. Found: C, $62.89, \mathrm{H}, 9.76$.

Methyl (4S,5R)- $\alpha$-Diazo-2,2-dimethyl-5-ethyl-1,3-dioxanepropionate 4. Sodium hydride ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ in mineral oil), methyl benzoate ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2 drops of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ were added sequentially to an ice-cold solution of ester $7(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 25 mL ). The grayish mixture was heated to reflux for 13 h , during which time it turned dark brown. Aqueous acetate buffer (7 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=5$ ) was added to the reaction mixture, followed by ether ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give the benzoyl ester ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.42$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $8.14-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.70-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.34-1.23$ (dd, $J=9.8,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

DBU ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-nitrobenzenesulfonylazide ( 2.0 g , 8.6 mmol ) were added sequentially to a solution of the benzoyl ester $(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After warming to room temperature ( 0.5 h ), the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous phosphate buffer ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=7$ ). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give $\mathbf{4}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ from 7) as a bright yellow oil, TLC $R_{f} 0.43$ ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 3.89 (dd, $J=5.1,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}$, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $27.6,36.1,46.1,56.0,58.6,90.1,144.0$; u: 37.7, 43.6, 80.5, 114.9, 185.0; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2960,2078,1699,1437,1221$; MS ( $m / 2, \%$ ) $228\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}-\mathrm{N}_{2}, 3\right), 213$ (19), 198 (74), 197 (24), 183 (6), 140 (13), 117 (100); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} 256.1424$ (228.1362 loss of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ); found 228.1366 .

Methyl [1S,2S,3aS,7aR]-1,5,5-Trimethyl-4,6-dioxa-(2,3,3a,4,5,6, 7,7a)octahydroindene-2-carboxylate 8a. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was passed through a pad of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ into the bright yellow diazo ester 4 ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. A catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Oct}_{4}(0.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was decolorized instantly with evolution of gas. After the gas evolution had ceased ( 5 min ), the mixture was concentrated and chromatographed directly to give a single diastereomer 8a ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield from 4) as a pale yellow oil, TLC $R_{f} 0.38$ ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether). The absolute and relative configuration was established by NOE experiment: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 3.89 (dd, $J=5.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $18.3,19.7,29.7,36.9$, $47.0,49.1,51.9,73.8$; u: 32.7, 65.5, 99.5, 176.6; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2954,1735$, $1459,1381,1264,1178,1113$; MS ( $m / 2, \%) 213\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 42\right), 153$ (11), 139 (33), 127 (30), 121 (21), 111(49), 93 (100); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} 228.1362$ (213.1127 loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), found 213.1132 .
[1S,2S,3aS,7aR]-1,5,5-Trimethyl-2-phenylmethoxymethyl-4,6-di-oxa-( $2,3,3 a, 4,5,6,7,7 a)$-octahydroindene 9 . Lithium aluminum hydride $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to an ice-cold solution of $8 \mathbf{a}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5$ mmol ) in THF ( 40 mL ). The reaction mixture was brought to reflux for 10 h before being chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, aqueous $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sequentially over 2 h . The grayish reaction mixture was turned into a white paste. This white paste was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give the crude alcohol ( 0.86 g ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 4.0 (dd, $J=3.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.77-$ 3.43 (m, 4 H ), 1.89 (br s, 1 H ), $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Sodium hydride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirring solution of the above crude alcohol ( 0.86 g ) in THF ( 20 mL ). After stirring for 20 min at room temperature, benzyl bromide ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and tetrabutylammonium iodide $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the reaction mixture. After 10 h at room temperature, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give $9(0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ yield from 8a) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.64$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 7.33 (m, 5 H ), $4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $18.1,19.7,29.8,35.1,43.0,49.4,73.8,127.4,127.5,128.3$; u: 32.8, $65.8,73.1,73.6,99.2,138.6$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3008,2925,2854,1454,1365$, 1265, 1197, 1095, 742, 698; MS (m/z, \%) $275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 34\right), 141$ (35), 125 (18), 123 (17), 111 (100), 110 (17), 109 (19), 108 (23), 107 (79), 105 (18); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} 290.1882$ (275.1647 loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), found: 275.1643.
(1S,2R,3R,4S)-3-Methyl-2-(4-methylbenzylsulfonyloxy methyl)-4-(phenylmethoxy)cyclopentanol 3. $p$-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring solution of $9(1.32$ $\mathrm{g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 20 min at room temperature, the reaction mixture was neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 22.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated and chromatographed to give the desired diol ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield from 9 ) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.28\left(5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(\delta)$ $\mathrm{d}: 18.7,37.2,44.1,57.2,76.6,127.5,128.3 ; \mathrm{u}: 37.1,64.9,73.0,73.2$, 138.5; IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3374 (br), 3030, 2868, 1658, 1496, 1454, 1364, 737, 698; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) $216\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{OH}, 17\right.$ ), 215 (100), 197 (24), 185 (15), 144 (8), 129 (17), 155 (10); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 250.1569$, found 250.1576 .
$p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( 0.5 mL , $5.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirring solution of the diol ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 18 h at room temperature, aqueous $10 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted
with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried ( $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ ), concentrated, and chromatographed to give the monotosylated product $3\left(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%\right.$ from 9) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.28$ (35\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\delta\right) 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 (m, 7 H$), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (m, 3 H ), 3.39 (m, 2 H$), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(\delta) \mathrm{d}: 18.3$, $21.5,37.2,44.0,55.2,73.5,127.4,127.8,128.3,129.8$; u: 23.3, 70.1 , $72.8,73.0,123.8,138.5,144.8$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3454,3012,1483,1375$, 1189, 654.
(2S)-2,6-Dimethyl-5-hepten-1-ol 12. A solution of sodium periodate ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 93.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ in 104 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added to a suspension of $60-200$ mesh $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(43 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After the mixture was thoroughly stirred, diol 11 ( $13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 77.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ suspension. After stirring for 5 min , the suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was added directly into a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 93.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl ether ( 60 $\mathrm{mL})$ and quenched with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give 12 $\left(6.74 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%\right.$ yield from 11) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.51$ ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 5.13 (m, 1 H ), 3.48 (ddd, $J=6.3,7.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $16.5,17.6,25.7,35.3,124.5$; u: 25.4, 33.2, 68.3, 131.4; IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3346, 2922, 1673, 1454, 1377, 1041, 826; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, \%) $142\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right), 110(5), 109(48), 96(4), 95(39), 85(4), 82(79), 81$ (33), 71 (25), 69 (100); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ 142.1358, found 142.1355; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.4$.
(2S)-1-Azido-2,6-dimethyl-5-heptene 13. Pyridine ( 40 mL ) and toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $22.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to a stirring solution of alcohol $12(12.9 \mathrm{~g}, 91.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ $\mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated and chromatographed to give the desired tosylate ( $24.7 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield) as a pale white oil: TLC $R_{f}$ 0.71 ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 7.79 (d, $J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: 16.3 , $17.5,21.5,25.6,32.3,123.8,127.8,129.7$; u: $24.9,32.6,131.8,133.1$, 144.6 .

Sodium azide ( $7.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a stirring solution of the tosylate ( $7.1 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 80 mL ). After stirring at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h , the white orange reaction mixture was diluted with ether ( 30 mL ) and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was partitioned between ether and brine. The combined ethereal extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give $13\left(3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%\right.$ yield from 12) as a pinkish oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.56$ ( $25 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 5.11 (m, 1 H ), 3.21 (dq, $J=5.8,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $17.5,17.6,25.7,33.1,124.1$; u: 25.2, 34.1, 57.8, 131.6; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2926,2098,1451,1379,1281 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%) 139\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ $-\mathrm{N}_{2}, 3$ ), 138 (19), 125 (9), 124 (100), 111 (15), 110 (10); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} 167.1424$ (139.1362 loss of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), found 139.1386 .

Ethyl (2R,5S)-5-Methyl piperidineacetate 14. A stream of ozone was passed through a solution of azide $13(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 240 mL ) at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the solution turned pale blue ( 21 min ). The ozone was turned off, and the reaction mixture was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Triphenylphosphine ( $6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 4 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and added to an ice-cold solution of ylide preparing from the addition of NaHMDS ( $29 \mathrm{~mL}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 M in THF) to triethylphosphonoacetate $(6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 29.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 70 mL ). After stirring at room temperature for 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was chilled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triphenylphosphine $(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 13 h . After the reaction mixture
was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried ( $\mathrm{K}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ), concentrated and distilled bulb-to-bulb (bp ${ }_{1.0 \mathrm{~mm}}=98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give a mixture of the trans-14 and cis- 15 piperidine esters in a ratio of 5.6:1 (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration and quantitative $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)(3.09 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield from 13) as a yellow oil. This mixture of diastereomers was then chromatographed, eluting with $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, to give transester $14(2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ yield from 13$)$ and cis-ester $15(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%$ yield from 13 ) both as white crystals.

14: TLC $R_{f} 0.37\left(10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 4.38 (br s, 1 H$), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(\delta) \mathrm{d}: 14.1,19.2,30.7,53.1 ; \mathrm{u}: 31.5$, $32.8,40.4,53.5,60.5,171.7$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3341,2927,1732,1460,1375$, 1337, 1176, 1033; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) $185\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 156$ (6), 142 (2), 138 (13), $129(22), 110(12), 99(27), 98(100)$; HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}: 185.1416$, found $185.1421 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.5$.

15: TLC $R_{f} 0.32\left(10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 4.12 (q, $J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.1,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $14.1,17.6$, $28.6,52.1$; u: 28.0, 28.6, 39.5, 50.6, 60.5, 172.0.

Amino Alcohol 16. Tosylate $3(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(0.06$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a refluxing solution of trans-piperidine ester $14(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 3 mL ). The reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for 3 h , before it cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was partitioned between ether and, sequentially, water and brine. The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The oily residue was chromatographed, eluting with $10 \%$ acetone $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, to give 16 $\left(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%\right.$ yield from 3) as a yellow oil: TLC $R_{f}=0.18(10 \%$ acetone $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $14.2,18.5,19.2,29.2$, $38.8,43.4,52.7,59.8,77.9,127.4,128.3,128.9$; u: 29.9, 31.8, 35.4, $38.7,57.6,60.5,60.9,73.0,73.9,172.1$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3416,3030,2925$, 1733, 1496, 1454, 1367, 1099, 736, 698; MS ( $m / z, \%$ ) $417\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}, 1\right)$, 331 (22), 330 (94), 326 (3), 328 (2), 224 (11), 198 (100), 184 (13), 170 (1), 156 (3), 124 (1), 121 (10), 110 (2); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{4} 417.2879$, found 417.2860; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+26.7$.

Tricyclic Amine 17. Pyridine ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzenesulfonyl chloride ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a stirring solution of $16(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and, sequentially, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The yellow residue was chromatographed, eluting with $3 \%$ acetone/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, to give the desired benzenesulfonylated product ( 0.12 $\mathrm{g}, 69 \%$ yield from 16 ) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.52$ ( $4 \%$ acetone/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) $7.94-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31$ (dd, $J=5.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide $(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added to a solution of the benzenesulfonylated 16 (101.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h with warming to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with brine ( 2 mL ) and diluted ether ( 2 mL ). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give 17 ( $55.7 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield from 16) as a light brown oil: TLC $R_{f}$ 0.67 (20\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 7.31 (m, 5 H ), $4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$
H), 3.41 (dd, $J=6.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65$ (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: $14.4,18.0,19.6,30.8,36.8,40.7,46.4,47.2$, $53.4,62.8,127.3,127.4,128.2$; u: $30.9,32.2,32.8,54.9,60.1,64.6$, 73.1, 75.1, 138.9, 175.2; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3029,2929,1728,1454,1375,1098$, 735, 697; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ ) $398\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}^{+}, 2\right.$ ), 370 (2), 354 (3), 309 (20), 308 (100), 278 (4), 264 (3), 234 (3), 206 (3), 192 (3), 164 (2), 152 (10), 150 (5), 148 (6), 136 (3), 124 (2), 111 (27), 110 (7), 107 (5); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 399.2773$, found 399.2742

Thiol Ether. Lithium aluminum hydride ( $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirring solution of $17(52.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.0 mL ). After stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , the reaction mixture was quenched sequentially with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.02 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.02 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.06 \mathrm{~mL})$. The grayish reaction mixture turned into a white paste. The resultant suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to give the crude alcohol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(\delta) 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.70 (dd, $J=4.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

The crude alcohol residue was dissolved in DME ( 1.0 mL ), and the phenyl disulfide ( $80.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $n$-tributylphosphine ( 81.0 g , 0.38 mmol ) were added. The mixture was maintained at reflux for 8 $h$ and then cooled to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with ether ( 4 mL ) and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the organic phase was separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $2 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give the thioether ( $53.2 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield from 17) as a pale pink oil: TLC $R_{f}$ 0.43 ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) 7.30 (m, 10 H), $4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dq}, J=3.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-$ $1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) d: 17.8, 19.7, 30.6, 37.0, 39.9, 44.6, 46.5, 47.5, 64.0, $125.5,127.3,127.5,128.3,128.8,128.9$; u: $30.0,33.1,33.2,34.8,55.4$, 65.0, 73.0, 134.0, 156.1; IR ( $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3008,1453,735,697$; MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, \%) $449\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 340(24), 326(11), 249(6), 234$ (32), 164 (10), 152 (22), 111 (27), 109 (100).

Alkaloid 251F 1. Ammonia ( 15 mL ) was condensed with a cold finger condenser into a stirring solution of the thioether ( $50.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol) in EtOH/THF ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1$ by volume) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium metal $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 73.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture in portions until the mixture remained dark blue. After 20 min , the reaction mixture was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and allowed to warm. The residual white solid was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The combined organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, concentrated, and chromatographed to give $1(21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield from 17) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.09\left(40 \%\right.$ acetone $\left./ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\delta)$ $3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31$ (d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5$, $13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.56(\mathrm{td}, J=3.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\delta) \mathrm{d}: 14.8$, 15.7, 17.5, 28.4, 35.5, 37.6, 41.2, 44.3, 47.3, 67.4; u: 27.1, 30.2, 31.4, $53.2,61.4,64.9$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3664,2958,2932,2754,1464,1380,1312$, 1268, 1010; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ \%) 251 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7$ ), 250 (34), 236 (4), 234 (5), 222 (6), 220 (21), 194 (42), 181 (2), 164 (5), 152 (15), 112 (27), 111 (100); HRMS cald for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO} 251.2260$, found: 251.2257. This material was found to be identical to the natural alkaloid by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$, GC-MS (coinjection on a capillary ge column), and GC-FT/IR.
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